



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS 2012/AJEA/1380
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>Correlation between Resistance of Eggplant and Microorganisms, Enzyme Activities of Soil</i>
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	This is an interesting and well-designed research concerning the relationship between microbial quantity and enzyme activities of rhizosphere soil from different resistant eggplant cultivars.	
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract	The title should be "Correlation between Resistance of Eggplants and Microorganisms, Enzyme Activities of Rhizosphere Soil" The abstract is well written.	The title has been revised to be "Resistance of Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.) to Verticillium wilt Correlates to Microbial Abundance and Soil Enzyme Activities"
Introduction	English errors appear in Line 27 "V.wilt" in line 36 and in other parts is a wrong expression, it should be "Verticillium wilt".	All these English errors were revised as the review suggested.
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	good	
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	"V.dahliae" in line 41 should be italic. "days" in line 47 should be "days". Centigrade (°C) lost in line 55, 78 and 99. The last "with" in line 56 should be deleted. The classification of disease resistance is crucial for this research. Is there an international standard? Is the classification method in this research well-accepted? The compositions of the mediums in Table 1 are all well-known, so Table 1 can be deleted.	All these English errors were revised as the review suggested. The classification of disease resistance in this research is well-accepted in China. But the disease assessment and classification are not unified both in China and abroad.
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	The meanings of "B/F and A/F" should be described when they appeared for the first time. The data in Table 2 and Table 3 should include standard deviation or standard error.	The explanation of "B/F" and "A/F" were added to page 6, section 3.2. The standard deviation has been



SDI Review Form 1.5

	Lots of English errors in discuss section. For examples, fungi in line 163 should be fungi, and "were varied" in line 168 should be "varied".	added. All these English errors were revised as the review suggested.
Conclusion	An independent section or paragraph should be added to summarize the main findings and conclusion.	An independent paragraph has been added.
References	good	